



THE BIG LIE!

EXPOSED!

EDITED & ILLUSTRATED BY LABAN ✦

Some illustrations also by Sam Warner, Eman Artist, Zebulun Geppetto, & Philippe la Plume.




A FACTUAL, 12-PART SERIES

CREATION vs. EVOLUTION!



INTRODUCTION:

Hitler is supposed to have said that if you tell a lie for the purpose of propaganda, tell a BIG one!




So the Devil was smart with EVOLUTION! -- He told THE BIG LIE:





Evolution is now referred to as "The Great Principle" of Biology. According to the DICTIONARY that means a "foundation truth," a Fact.



This True Komix series will show in detail that There is **NO PROOF** for EVOLUTION!




There is no Proof, therefore it must be BELIEVED, therefore it is a FAITH, therefore it is a RELIGION!



So Evolution is really a RELIGION of UNBELIEF in GOD!

This was made evident at the Chicago Darwinian Centennial in 1959 when Sir Julian Huxley addressed his sermon to the congregation of 2,500 delegates:

"EVOLUTION HAD NO ROOM FOR THE SUPER-NATURAL... We all accept the fact of evolution... evolution of life is no longer a theory. It is a fact... the basis of all our thinking!"³




SOFTY JULIAN: A "fact" that can't be PROVEN isn't a FACT!



LIFE from NON-LIFE?


AT THE CORE OF EVOLUTIONARY THEORY IS THE **BIG ASSUMPTION** THAT LIFE SOMEHOW AROSE FROM NON-LIFE, by pure CHANCE.



This ASSUMPTION is completely contrary to a universally accepted and PROVEN LAW of science known as the Second Law of Thermodynamics, which states that:

"All processes (left to themselves) go toward a greater state of disorder, disorganisation, disarrangement and less complexity."⁴

In other words, THINGS naturally tend to DECAY.



EVOLUTION claims exactly the OPPOSITE to this law.

Even if elements could arrange themselves into a certain definite pattern, as is necessary for life, they could NOT make themselves a Living Cell because LIFE is NOT a mere physical arrangement of chemicals!

"The probability of life originating from accident," says Princeton University Professor of Biology Edwin Conklin, "is comparable to the probability of the unabridged dictionary resulting from an explosion in a printing shop."⁵



416

As for the "simple cell", from which the Evolutionists say all living creatures have evolved:

"Look" Magazine declared "THE CELL IS AS COMPLICATED AS NEW YORK CITY."⁶



Evolutionist Loren Eiseley likewise admitted in his book, "The Immense Journey": "Intensified effort revealed that even the supposedly simple amoeba was a complex, self-operating chemical factory..."




...The notion that it was a simple blob, the discovery of whose chemical composition would enable us instantly to set the life process in operation, turned out to be, at best, a monstrous caricature of the truth."⁷

CAN YOU IMAGINE:

A DICTIONARY...

A CHEMICAL FACTORY...



OR NEW YORK CITY...



...coming into existence by itself-~~POOF!~~-without any assistance from an intelligent designer, planner or creator?

Such is the "logic" of evolution's imaginary assumption that the infinitely complex "simple" cell accidentally came together and came alive by blind, unguided chance!

Commenting on this assumption, the British biologist Woodger said, "It is simple dogmatism - asserting that what you want to believe, did in fact happen."⁸

The absurdity of this evolutionary logic is only amplified as we move on to the even more complex multi-celled forms of life!



FOR MORE VIEWS & TRUE KNOWLEDGE, P.O. BOX 10018, GENEVA, SWITZERLAND
 PLEASE INCLUDE 20 CENTS TO HELP COVER COSTS OF MAILING THIS JOURNAL



The EXISTENCE of SPECIES!

ACCORDING TO EVOLUTION, TODAY'S PLANT AND ANIMAL SPECIES ARE MERELY TRANSITIONAL FORMS, part of an endless chain whose links are continually changing into more advanced stages.

For this reason Darwin regarded the classification "species" as:



"...a mere useless abstraction"
- "...one arbitrarily given for the sake of convenience."⁸

THIS IS IN DIRECT CONTRADICTION TO GOD'S WORD which




states that all living creatures were created "after their kind" and able to bring forth seed, or fruit, "after their kind"⁹

⁸"KIND" = The King James' translation of the Hebrew word "Min", today translated as "species".¹⁰

WE'VE NEVER HEARD YET, AND THEY'VE NEVER PROVED YET THAT ANY DOG EVER BECAME A CAT OR A CAT A DOG! There

are all kinds of dogs and all kinds of cats, but there are no dog-cats and no cat-dogs! Because God created everything "after its own kind" and they can't possibly get out of that kind!



These FACTS even disturbed Darwin, who questioned: "WHY, if species have descended from the other species by fine gradation, do we not everywhere see innumerable transitional forms? WHY is not all nature in confusion, instead of the species being, as we see them, well defined?"¹¹



The answer to Charles' question is simple! All he had to do was read Genesis Chapter One, and he could've known that species have not descended from other species, but were created by God in orderly, set "kinds", and that's why all nature is not in confusion!



HYBRIDS!

BUT HAVEN'T THE SCIENTISTS WORKING WITH GENETICS PRODUCED NEW SPECIES OF HYBRID PLANTS AND ANIMALS? Doesn't this prove that entirely new species could evolve from interbreeding between different parent species? NO!

The accepted definition among the scientific community of a "species" is:



The rare hybrids that can be produced are not "fertile offspring", but are sterile! As the Collegiate Encyclopedia acknowledges:

"The infertility of species hybrids is one mechanism by which species can remain distinct".¹²



In other words, GOD HIMSELF HAS PLACED THE BARRIER OF STERILITY AGAINST THE MIXING UP OF HIS ORIGINAL APPOINTED "KINDS".

A good example of this is the mule, which is a species hybrid between a male ass and a female horse.



Although outwardly appearing to be a new species, or "kind", it is impossible for a male and female mule to reproduce mule offspring. The only way is to continually cross a male ass with a female horse.

This God-ordained biological principle was verified by the famous evolutionary professor of zoology, Richard B. Goldschmidt, who wrote, "Nowhere have the limits of the species been transgressed, and these limits are separated from the limits of the next good species by the unbridged gap, sterility".¹³




MUTATIONS!

WHAT ABOUT THE EXTENSIVE RADIATION EXPERIMENTS THAT HAVE PRODUCED ACTUAL MUTATIONS AND CHANGES in creatures such as the fruit fly?

Isn't this ample evidence to prove that similar mutations could be the "chief building blocks of evolutionary change" as Sir Julian Huxley has called them, and as most scientists and educators today claim them to be?




"Fruit flies have been the subject of countless experiments in which they are bombarded with radiation, resulting in many mutations. Although the mutations produce deformities, dwarfed bodies, shriveled wings, etc., they never produce a new 'kind'!"



NO! NONE OF THE MANY THOUSANDS OF SCIENTIFIC EXPERIMENTS WITH MUTATIONS HAVE EVER PRODUCED A NEW "KIND" OR SPECIE OF ANIMAL OR PLANT - NEVER!

All of the geneticists and evolutionists, with all their knowledge,



and intellect,



under "perfect" laboratory conditions,





with modern radiation techniques,



have utterly failed to change or mutate one "kind" into another!




YET THESE SAME EVOLUTIONISTS SOMEHOW EXPECT US TO BELIEVE THAT BLIND, UNGUIDED CHANCE HAS PRODUCED THE MILLIONS OF BEAUTIFUL, VARYING AND COMPLEX FORMS OF LIFE ON EARTH TODAY!



As far as mutational changes being the "chief building blocks" of evolution, Hermann J. Muller, who won the 1946 Nobel Prize for his contributions to the science of genetics (for his work with our little friend the fruit fly), said, "IN MORE THAN 99 PERCENT OF CASES THE MUTATION


OF A GENE PRODUCES SOME KIND OF HARMFUL EFFECT, SOME DISTURBANCE OF FUNCTION... Most mutations are bad; in fact, good ones are so rare that we may consider them ALL as BAD."



To illustrate the effect of gene mutations on an organism, H. Kaimus stated in his book, "Genetics", "A popular comparison would be with a watch; if a part of the mechanism is altered by some change, it is very unlikely that the watch will be improved by the accident."



A CLEAR-CUT EXAMPLE OF THE NEGATIVE EFFECTS OF GENE MUTATIONS OCCURED IN HIROSHIMA AND NAGASAKI, JAPAN, AT THE END OF WORLD WAR II.



The members of the populace that escaped immediate death from the hellish atomic bombs used against these cities were subjected to varying degrees of atomic radiation - resulting in thousands of mutations.

None of these mutations produced any new, superior, advanced forms of human beings as evolution might lead us to

expect. Instead, the pitiful victims of these gene mutations suffered deformities, damage and death!



614 DRUGS AND CHEMICALS CAN ALSO CAUSE MUTATIONS, as countless victims today can sadly testify!



One of the most widely known instances of this in recent years was the widely publicised case (some are not publicised) of the tranquilizer THALIDOMIDE.

Again, none of these chemically-induced mutations were beneficial to the "human species", but rather resulted in cruelly-deformed babies, many without arms or legs!

These tragic examples certainly affirm the assertion of Dr. W.E. Lammerts, former director of research for Germain's Seed Company, that "Biologically, ALL mutations are defective"! 18


They are by no means the purely theoretical "building blocks of evolution" that some liars claim them to be.



The FOSSIL RECORD!

IF THIS BIG, RIDICULOUS, IDIOTIC LIE, THIS COMPLICATED, FABRICATED FRAMEWORK OF FICTION CALLED EVOLUTION WERE TRUE, THEN THERE SHOULD BE MORE MISSING LINKS DUG UP THAN ANYTHING ELSE!

If there were billions of years of evolution, we'd be up to our ears in missing links!



EVEN DARWIN REALISED THIS, and so said:



"As by this theory innumerable transitional forms ("links") must have existed, WHY DO WE NOT FIND THEM EMBEDDED IN COUNTLESS NUMBERS IN THE CRUST OF THE EARTH?... The number of intermediate and transitional links between all living and extinct species must have been inconceivably great." 19



But, since Charles was not one to let facts get in his way, he answered his own question:

"I believe the answer lies in the (geological) record being incomparably less perfect than is generally supposed." 20



BUT NOW, 120 YEARS LATER, DARWIN'S EXCUSE IS TOTALLY RIDICULOUS!

Literally hundreds of millions of fossils have been extracted from all fossil-bearing rock strata and none of them are "transitional forms" or missing links— they all obviously belong to a definite species! In fact, it is estimated that over 100,000 different, distinct species of fossils have been found and categorized! YET NO "LINKS"!



A S. Romer, professor of zoology at Harvard University, recently summed up the present situation when he said,


"LINKS' ARE MISSING JUST WHERE WE MOST FERVENTLY DESIRE THEM, AND IT IS ALL TOO PROBABLE THAT MANY 'LINKS' WILL CONTINUE TO BE MISSING."²¹



The "MONKEY-MEN"!

THERE ARE NO MAN-APES AND NO APE-MEN, and all that baloney you read about and see pictures of in most of today's biology textbooks is just hellish tommy-rot!

YOU WILL NOTICE THAT THE EVOLUTIONISTS HAVE CHOSEN SOME VERY LONG AND DIFFICULT-TO-PRONOUNCE NAMES FOR THEIR "MISSING-LINKS", the foundation stones (or bones) on which their faith is built.




Doing this sort of thing envelopes it all with a shroud of mystery and even puts a little superstitious awe into the minds of the average laymen.

Doing this sort of thing envelopes it all with a shroud of mystery and even puts a little superstitious awe into the minds of the average laymen.

Like many other religious authorities, the high priests of the "sacred cow" of false-science has done this to give credence to their faith and gain reverence for themselves!



Darwin claimed that:



"The Simiadae (monkeys) branched off into 2 great stems, The New World and The Old World monkeys; and from the latter, at a remote period, Man, the wonder and glory of the universe, proceeded."²²


However, THE SCIENTISTS SOON FOUND IT IMPOSSIBLE to reconstruct a halfway believable evolutionary chain showing man rising from the ape family, so-



-WHICH THEY PROMPTLY DID!

NOW, the modern evolutionists believe that man came not from the apes, but from an older, more primitive primate who was the common ancestor

of both apes and man! **BUT** as far as any fossil evidence to prove this new theory, "New Scientist" magazine commented: "The unmistakable correspondence between man and anthropoids points clearly to a common ancestor. **BUT IT HAS NOT YET BEEN FOUND AND WE MAY HAVE SOME DIFFICULTY IN RECOGNIZING IT.**"²³



The prominent evolutionists who wrote the book "The Primates" confessed,

"Unfortunately, the early stages of man's evolutionary progress along his individual line remain a **TOTAL MYSTERY.**"²⁴

THE PRIMATES

"The nature of the line leading to living man... remains

A MATTER OF **PURE THEORY**"²⁵

SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN

421

- SO LET'S TAKE A LOOK AT THESE "MONKEY-MEN":

THE AUSTRALOPITHECINES: ("southern man-apes")



Although their brains were only a third as large as modern man, it is theorised that they were toolmakers and therefore men.

But even many evolutionists dispute this! Famed anthropologist J.T. Robinson claims that the toolmaking was not done by these so-called "man-apes" at all but by true men.²⁶




Evolutionist LeGros Clark warned: "...there is **NO CERTAIN EVIDENCE** that they possessed **ANY** of the special attributes which are commonly associated with the human beings of today."²⁷



15.

Evolutionist R.L. Lehrman wrote: "**AUSTRALOPITHECUS WAS MERELY AN UPRIGHT INTELLIGENT APE, NOT A MAN.** The small braincase bearing heavy ridges over the eyes... was like that of any ape."²⁸



The **NEXT** most important on the list is "**PITHECANTHROPUS ERECTUS**", affectionately known as "**JAVA MAN**" for short. He was discovered by Professor E. Dubois, a follower of Ernst Haeckle* (a German evolutionist who concocted and was caught in several "scientific" frauds.²⁹)

Little does the uninformed person realize that

JAVA MAN was "reconstructed" from only a **FRAGMENT** of a skullcap **3 TEETH** and **ONE THIGHBONE-**

-FOUND OVER **50 FEET APART** in an old riverbed in Java!



YOU KIDS PROBABLY WONDER HOW WE SCIENTISTS CAN MAKE UP SUCH THINGS FROM SO LITTLE EVIDENCE...!!! IT'S SIMPLE!!! WHEN YOU ARE AS SMART AS US YOU DON'T NEED ANY EVIDENCE, JUST A DAMN GOOD IMAGINATION!

* HAECKLE OPENLY PRAISED AND PERPETRATED EVOLUTIONARY THEORY AS A MEANS BY WHICH HE HOPED TO DEFEAT CHRISTIANITY AND ALL FAITHS IN GOD AND WAS THE FIRST TO DREAM UP THE IMAGINARY EVOLUTIONARY "FAMILY TREE"!

16.

Neither do they tell you, as does the 1949 textbook "Mankind So Far" that after the World had accepted Dr. Dubois' "link", "One voice alone now cried that: the Java Man was not a man, but a giant, tree-walking GIBBON!..."

"...And here it was that Pithecanthropus felt the unkind-est cut of all. For the voice was the voice of Dr. Dubois himself."³⁰



*The FACTS he was "studying":

1891- FOUND THE SKULL CAP of an ORANGUTAN.

1892- FOUND HUMAN THIGHBONE -- AND 2 HUMAN SKULLS!

1898- FOUND ONE HUMAN TOOTH AND 2 MONKEY TEETH

(FOUND AT NEARLY the SAME LEVEL as the MONKEY SKULL!)

Village of TRINIL

THE SOLD RIVER, JAVA

← 50 FEET AWAY →

Dr. Dubois ~~HAD~~ "studied" the 2 human skulls for 30 YEARS!

In 1906, 10,000 cubic yards of soil was sifted where Dubois had dug - nothing similar was found!



In 1907 someone discovered that all the soil was only 500 years old!



NEXT: The "PILTDOWN MAN", or EOANTHROPUS DAWSONI!

The "Encyclopedia Britannica", 1946 edition reported: "THE DISCOVERY WHICH RANKS NEXT IN IMPORTANCE was made by Mister Charles Dawson at Piltdown, Sussex, between the years 1911 and 1915. He found the greater part of the left half of a deeply-mineralized human skull, also part of the right half; the right half of the lower jaw... carrying the first and second molar teeth..."



"...Amongst British authorities there is now agreement that the skull and the jaw are parts of the same individual."³¹

HOWEVER, it was later found out that the resurrection of the Piltdown Man involved considerable monkey business! "Science Newsletter" tells us: "ONE OF THE MOST FAMOUS FAKES EXPOSED BY SCIENTIFIC PROOF WAS PILTDOWN MAN, found in Sussex, England...and thought by some to be 500,000 years old.




After much controversy it turned out to be not a primitive man at all, but a composite of a skull of a modern man and the jawbone of an ape...The jawbone had been 'doctored' with bichromate of potash and iron to make it look mineralized."³²



The skull was taken from a Medieval graveyard!

Teihard de Chardin is believed to be the accomplice.





EVEN THE TEETH REVEALED SOME NOT-TOO-PRIMITIVE DENTAL WORK: filed down to look older!

"Readers Digest" pointed out: "Every important piece proved a forgery. Pitdown Man was a fraud from start to finish!"³³



The next "gentleman" on this imaginary journey down the non-existent road from monkey to man is "NEANDERTHAL MAN".



He is usually pictured very hairy, ape-like and moronic-looking, just what you'd EXPECT a "MISSING LINK" to look like! But "The Collegiate Encyclopedia" wrote:

"As a consequence of preconceived notions as to what Neanderthal Man should have looked like, an unfortunate MYTH has been perpetrated...traditionally represented with a bull neck, knock knees, a

stooped gait, and a rather bestial appearance. The TRUTH is that Neanderthal Man had NONE of these traits, he walked ERECT, and his appearance was NOT LESS BENIGN (kind and gentle) THAN THAT OF CONTEMPORARY MAN!



1350 cc.

MODERN MAN



"A remarkable fact about Neanderthal Man is that in males, brain volume varied between 1425 cc. and 1641 cc. with an average of 1553 cc. The average brain volume of modern man is about 1350 cc. Thus, the average size of the brain in Neanderthal Man was substantially greater than it is in contemporary man."³⁴



1553 cc.

NEANDERTHAL MAN

19.




ANOTHER DISTURBING PROBLEM FOR EVOLUTIONISTS: The remains of modern-type man have been found in the SAME ROCK STRATA AND OLDER ROCK STRATA than the so-called "PREHISTORIC" MEN!

Professor A.M. Winchester said in his book, "Biology and its Relationship to Mankind", "The remains of Swanscombe Man and Kanjera Man in Africa, and others, suggest that true man (modern type) may have existed as ... a CONTEMPORARY OF HOMO ERECTUS (JAVA MAN)."³⁶ (*at the same time.)

Other remains of modern-type men found in a lower, older layer than their supposedly more primitive "prehistoric ancestors" were unearthed in 1947 at Fonte Chevade, France.

"The Collegiate Encyclopedia" states:




20.

SO HERE THE EVOLUTIONISTS HAVE TO ADMIT THAT "HOMO SAPIENS", normal modern-type human beings, WERE RUNNING AROUND AT THE SAME TIME AS THE PITHECANTHROPINES (JAVA MAN) AND BEFORE THE NEANDERTHALS- BOTH OF WHOM WE ARE SUPPOSED TO HAVE EVOLVED FROM!! HAI!



In other words, Man DIDN'T DESCEND from these walking apes but they CO-EXISTED at the SAME TIME as DIFFERENT, DISTINCT SPECIES! Man is the same now as he was then and the APES would be too but they became EXTINCT! Of course, confirmed evolutionists would NEVER accept such EVIDENCE!



RIDICULOUS! It takes MORE FAITH to believe evolution-- this incredible, fictitious, confused, self-contradicting fairy-tale of man's origins, than it does to accept God's simple, beautiful, inspired explanation in His Word!



The FIRST MAN!



IN THE NEW TESTAMENT IT SAYS, "THE FIRST MAN ADAM WAS MADE A LIVING SOUL." (1 Cor. 15: 45) Adam was the first man; not the end of a long process of evolution. Adam was made, he didn't grow or evolve, but was made a living soul! "SO GOD CREATED MAN IN HIS OWN IMAGE, (then he repeats it so you'll get the point) IN THE IMAGE OF GOD CREATED HE HIM, male and female

created He them." (Gen. 1:27) Adam didn't look like some apeman or monkey, or fish, or bird; but God made man like Himself, in many ways, as an illustration of Himself.

And God formed man out of what? Previous forms? Apes? Beasts? Birds? "AND THE LORD GOD FORMED MAN OF THE DUST OF THE GROUND, AND BREATHED INTO HIS NOSTRILS THE BREATH OF LIFE; AND MAN BECAME A LIVING SOUL." (Gen. 2:7)

Which was first--the man or the life? The fully-formed BODY of Adam was there before God breathed life into it. And until then it was just a dead body, stone cold dead, NOT DEVELOPED FROM A LITTLE BIT OF JELLY, BUT A FULLY-FORMED, GROWN MAN, made out of dust, mud! God breathed into his nostrils and man, at last, became a living soul!






EVOLUTION'S BROKEN CRUTCH: PALAEOLOGY!

DO YOU KNOW HOW THE EVOLUTIONIST SUPPOSEDLY "PROVES" THE AGE OF HIS FOSSILS? BY THE AGE OF THE **ROCK STRATA!**

Petroleum geologist J.A. Jeletzky wrote, "The **FOSSILS** and their **presumed evolutionary sequence** provide the **SOLE BASIS** for the division of rocks into time units."³⁸



425

[NOTE] WE ARE **NOT TALKING ABOUT TRUE SCIENCE**, like **true geology**, which is not guesswork but **facts**; we are talking about the **guesswork of palaeontology**, the geologists that went out on a limb and started **guessing** about how it got here, and how long the rocks have been there, etc., which is **all pure fabrication and guesswork!**

FROM PAVNEE PAVNEE #736 © TRUE KIDNA, JAN 1982, PP 8-11, BOBI ZURICH, SWITZ. WRITE TOMMY FOR MORE! THANKS!




The AGE of the EARTH!

THE PROOF OF THE AGE OF THE EARTH! CREATION ONLY 6,000 years ago!

BIBLE REFERENCES	GENEALOGIES	EARTH'S AGE	ACTUAL DATES
Gen. 5:1-2	The Creation of Adam	8 days	4220 B.C.
Gen. 5:3	Creation of Adam to birth of Enos	130 yrs.	4090 B.C.
Gen. 5:6	Birth of Seth to birth of Enos	105 yrs.	3985 B.C.
Gen. 5:9	Birth of Enos to birth of Cainan	90 yrs.	3895 B.C.
Gen. 5:12	Birth of Cainan to birth of Mahalaleel	70 yrs.	3825 B.C.
Gen. 5:15	Birth of Mahalaleel to birth of Jared	65 yrs.	3760 B.C.
Gen. 5:18	Birth of Jared to birth of Enoch	65 yrs.	3695 B.C.
Gen. 5:21	Birth of Enoch to birth of Methuselah	162 yrs.	3533 B.C.
Gen. 5:25	Birth of Methuselah to birth of Lamech	187 yrs.	3346 B.C.
Gen. 5:28,29	Birth of Lamech to birth of Noah	182 yrs.	3164 B.C.
Gen. 7:8	Birth of Noah to the Flood	800 yrs.	2956 B.C.
Gen. 11:10	The Flood to the birth of Arphaxad	2 yrs.	2562 B.C.
Gen. 11:12	Birth of Arphaxad to birth of Salah	35 yrs.	2527 B.C.
Gen. 11:14	Birth of Salah to birth of Eber	30 yrs.	2497 B.C.
Gen. 11:16	Birth of Eber to birth of Peleg	34 yrs.	2463 B.C.
Gen. 11:18	Birth of Peleg to birth of Reu	30 yrs.	2432 B.C.
Gen. 11:22	Birth of Reu to birth of Serug	32 yrs.	2401 B.C.
Gen. 11:24	Birth of Serug to birth of Nahor	30 yrs.	2371 B.C.
Gen. 11:26	Birth of Nahor to birth of Terah	29 yrs.	2342 B.C.
Gen. 21:5	Birth of Terah to birth of Abram	130 yrs.	2212 B.C.
Gen. 25:26	Birth of Isaac to birth of Isaac	100 yrs.	2112 B.C.
Gen. 47:5-12	Birth of Jacob to birth of Jacob	80 yrs.	2052 B.C.
Ex. 12:40,41	Entering Egypt to entering Egypt	130 yrs.	1922 B.C.
1 Kg. 8:1	Exodus from Egypt to beginning of construction of Solomon's temple	430 yrs.	1492 B.C.
B.C./A.D.		480 yrs.	1012 B.C.
	Year 0 to today	1012 yrs.	4220 YRS.
	TOTAL YEARS from Creation to today	1981 yrs.	1981 A.D.
		6201 yrs.	

*All references are from the King James Bible.

IF PALAEOLOGY SAYS THE WORLD IS SIX BILLION YEARS OLD, AND THE BIBLE SAYS SIX THOUSAND, WHICH ARE YOU GOING TO BELIEVE?



It doesn't seem to occur to them to doubt the **sacred cow** of **science-falsely-so-called!**

FROM PAVNEE PAVNEE #736 © TRUE KIDNA, JAN 1982, PP 8-11, BOBI ZURICH, SWITZ.

WHAT'S THE MATTER WITH THESE PEOPLE? IF THEY BELIEVE IN GOD AT ALL, WHY DO THEY SEEM TO THINK HE NEEDED SO MUCH TIME? HE COULD'VE DONE IT IN SIX MINUTES IF HE'D WANTED TO, BUT HE DIDN'T!

It's like old Dr. Koger used to say:



"God could have made a better berry than the strawberry, but He didn't!"

As Doctor Albert Einstein said in one of his last statements, which is not very widely publicised by our dear "truth"-seeking evolutionists,



"Now that we know these principles of nuclear fission and fusion, we now realise that THE EARTH AS WE KNOW IT COULD HAVE COME INTO EXISTENCE IN A MOMENT OF TIME."

BOOM! Just like that!

426 YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE TO BELIEVE IN EITHER SIX STRAIGHT 24-HOUR DAYS OF CREATION FROM THE BIBLE, OR YOU HAVE TO BELIEVE THE BIBLE'S A LIE!

Because God told the Jews:



"SIX DAYS shalt thou labour, and do all thy work: But the seventh day is the Sabbath of the Lord thy God: in it thou shalt not do any

work... For in six days the Lord made Heaven and Earth, the sea and all that in them is, and rested the seventh day:" (Ex.20:9-11)

If each of those original "days" meant a million years, are the Jews supposed to work for 6 million years and rest the 7th million?



YOU HAVE TO INTERPRET SCRIPTURE CONSISTENTLY.

You cannot say this means that over here but it means something else over there.

WHEN GOD SAYS ONE DAY HE MEANS ONE REGULAR, NORMAL, 24-HOUR DAY! Just like today! --OR YOU DON'T BELIEVE IT AT ALL!


BUT WHAT ABOUT THESE RADIOACTIVE DATING METHODS?

Take the CARBON-14 METHOD for an example:


This method is based on the amount of radioactive carbon found in the remains of once-living organisms.



Radioactive carbon (C-14) is a substance formed by the sun's cosmic rays as they enter the Earth's atmosphere.



It is then absorbed only by living plants and animals.



When the organism dies, the C-14 in its remains begins to slowly decay and is not replaced.



Hence, the palaeontologist tries to measure the amounts of C-14 left in his fossil to determine how old it is.




However, "ONE OF THE MAIN ASSUMPTIONS OF C-14 DATING", according to "Science Magazine", "is that the atmospheric radioactive carbon level has held steady over the age range to which the method applies."³⁹




BUT WHAT IF THE C-14 LEVEL HAS NOT HELD STEADY? What if it has changed?

"Science Digest" answers: "It most certainly would RUIN some of our carefully developed methods of dating things from the past... If the level of carbon-14 was LESS in the past, due to a greater magnetic shielding from cosmic rays, then our estimates of the time that has elapsed since the life of the organism will be TOO LONG."⁴⁰

THIS IS EXACTLY WHAT HAS HAPPENED !



But during the **FLOOD**, when God opened up the fountains of the deep, and the Heavens poured down and it rained for forty days and forty nights, apparently that envelope of moisture that protected us from those harmful rays was removed.



THAT'S WHY NO ONE HAD EVER SEEN A RAINBOW BEFORE - NO DIRECT SUNLIGHT !

THE FACT THAT THESE "WATERS ABOVE THE FIRMAMENT" DID SHIELD THE EARTH FROM THESE C-14 FORMING COSMIC RAYS IS MADE APPARENT IN THE SCRIPTURES: Immediately **after** the Flood men's lives were gradually **shortened** to almost **one-tenth** of what they were **before** the Flood !



Now, science has **proven** that **cosmic rays** are what **cause** **aging**, and finally, along with your sins, bring on death.

That's why people who spend a lot of time in the sun look older than they should !




27.

SO WHAT DOES ALL THIS PROVE ?

It proves that their **BIG ASSUMPTION** that the "atmospheric radio-carbon level has held steady over the age-range" is a **FALSE ASSUMPTION** ! It has **not** held steady!

The present atmospheric conditions did **NOT** exist until **after** the Flood,




So their "carefully developed methods of dating things from the past" **ARE** "ruined"!



And their "estimates of the time that has elapsed since the life of the organism" **ARE** "TOO LONG"!

Even "Science Year" has confessed, "Scientists have found that the C-14 concentration in the air and in the sea **HAS NOT REMAINED CONSTANT OVER THE YEARS**, as originally supposed."¹¹

Another interesting fact is that **THEY HAVE NEVER YET FOUND ANY SUBSTANTIAL ARTIFACTS OVER FIVE OR SIX THOUSAND YEARS OLD.**



"The World Book Encyclopedia" confessed this by saying: "The earliest records we have of human history go back only about 5000 years."¹²

This is why they always refer to their theoretical time-periods over five or six thousand years ago as "prehistoric", because there is **NO HISTORICAL EVIDENCE TO PROVE SUCH A TIME EVER EXISTED !**

It's all **guesswork** and **speculation** designed to support their big fairy tale of **EVOLUTION** !

FOR MORE WRITE TO: TRAVE KOMIK, P.O. BOX 1002, BURRICH, SWITZ. PLEASE ENCLOSE A DFT TO HELP COVER COSTS - THANK YOU!

28



WHY EVOLUTION?

"IN THE BEGINNING, GOD," NOT CHAOS, NOT SOME NEBULUS CLOUD OF GASES, BUT "IN THE BEGINNING, GOD CREATED THE HEAVENS AND THE EARTH"!



The first verse of the first chapter of the first book of THE Book! THIS IS THE PREMISE AND PREFACE AND FOUNDATION OF THE ENTIRE BIBLE! If you don't believe this, you can't believe anything!

WHY? What happens in a court of law to the testimony of a witness when one thing he's said is proven to be a LIE?




They throw the whole thing out, even the true part!



If he lied about one thing, maybe he lied about another!

It's the same with the Bible! If you can't believe every word of it, then you can't believe any of it!

JESUS SAID, "HAD YE BELIEVED MOSES, YE WOULD HAVE BELIEVED ME... BUT if ye believe not his writings, how shall ye believe My Words?" (Jn.5:46,47.)




Now, what's the first of Moses' writings, upon which all five books are based?

GENESIS!

WHAT, THEN, DID THE DEVIL KNOW HE HAD TO DO TO PREVENT PEOPLE FROM BELIEVING IN CHRIST? He knew he had to destroy their belief in the writings of Moses, in the book of GENESIS in particular.

And how did he do it? By what doctrine or what big lie?



EVOLUTION - HIS GREATEST LIE and greatest manufacture and most clever piece of teaching. As ridiculous and idiotic as anything you could possibly study, because it has NO FOUNDATION IN FACT whatsoever.

The famous contemporary anthropologist, Margaret Mead, said, "We as honest scientists must confess that science has yet to discover one single iota (tiny speck) of concrete evidence to prove evolutionary theory."

GOD'S GREATEST CREDENTIALS, THE GENUINE PROOF OF HIS AUTHORITY, IS HIS CREATION. As Romans 1:20 says, "For



the invisible things of Him from the creation of the World are clearly seen, being understood by the things that are made (His creation, you), even His eternal power and Godhead; so that THEY ARE WITHOUT EXCUSE!"


And **THAT'S** why the World wants to reject Creation and say it's all just a meaningless, chaotic evolution:

Because if the World and its inhabitants are God's creation, then they are His property - and if they're His property, then He's got the right to be the Boss - and **THEY DON'T WANT GOD TO BE BOSS!**

Therefore, they did not like to retain God in their knowledge? (in their education). (Romans 1: 28)



"PROFESSING THEMSELVES TO BE WISE, THEY BECAME FOOLS, And changed the glory of God into an image made like unto... man, and to birds, and four-footed beasts, and creeping things ... and worshipped the creature more than the Creator" (Romans 1: 22, 23, 25)



So **WHY** this ridiculous, idiotic scheme of evolution ??? TO GET RID OF GOD, AND THE KNOWLEDGE OF GOD !!!

Professor L.T. More, University of Cincinnati, "Our **FAITH** in the idea of evolution depends upon our reluctance to accept the antagonistic doctrine of special Creation." 43

And **THAT'S** why they cooked up **EVOLUTION!**

HOW'S THE CONCOCTION?



IF YOU LOOK AT IT CLOSELY YOU WON'T SWALLOW IT! BUT NOBODY LOOKS!

FOR MORE, WRITE TO: TRUE KOMIK, PF. 241, 8021 ZÜRICH, SWITZERLAND. PLEASE ENCLOSE A GIFT TO HELP COVER COSTS - THANK YOU!



The LOGIC and RESULTS of EVOLUTIONARY THEORY!


THE LOGIC OF EVOLUTIONARY THEORY IS IF YOU ARE JUST A BEAST THEN LIVE LIKE ONE! After all: ?

If there is NO PLANNER,



then there is NO PLAN!

If there is NO RULER,



then there are NO RULES!


If there is NO JUDGE,



then there is NO JUDGEMENT!

IF THERE IS NO GOD, THEN THERE IS NO RIGHT OR WRONG!

If life is only "the survival of the fittest", then **THERE ARE NO CRIMES!** If in a "fit" someone kills somebody, why he's the "fittest" and should be allowed to "survive"!



HITLER OBVIOUSLY BELIEVED EVOLUTIONARY PHILOSOPHY:




Ve are der Master Race!

"The whole of nature", he said, "is a continuous struggle between strength and weakness, an eternal victory of the strong over the weak." 44

Doubtless, many repressive regimes have found it only too easy and convenient to attribute their mass murders and political purges to "natural selections" elimination of the weak in favour of the strong!


FROM PATHER DAVIDS # 236 - TRUE KOMIK, PF. 192, PF. 241, 8021 ZÜRICH, SWITZERLAND.

SO THE BIG LIE, EVOLUTION, THE BIGGEST LIE IN THE WORLD, IS LARGE-
LY RESPONSIBLE FOR MANY OF THE WOES
AND THE ILLS THAT THE WORLD IS SUFFERING
TODAY, including selfishness of **economy**, confusion of **government**, mis-
handling of **justice**--one grand, great, awful MESS!



And all due to what? Refusal to believe what Moses wrote, particularly **Genesis**, the book of beginnings! And in rejecting Moses' writings they reject **Jesus Christ** as well!

So instead of His loving laws of "As ye would that men should do to you, do ye even so to them" and "THOU SHALT LOVE THY NEIGHBOUR AS THYSELF", all they have is the cruel code of dog-eat-dog **SELFISHNESS!**



DRUGS they are not even sure of **THAT!**
-- **ABSOLUTE CONFUSION!**

All because they've lost touch with the only concrete frame of reference, and that is **GOD**, and His explanation, the Bible. No Book, no standard, nothing...

...except evolution!

Since people have rejected the **STANDARD**, they have only their own standard, therefore they don't know what to believe, where they're from, who they are, or where they are going!

They **KNOW** nothing except, "I exist, therefore I am."

And if they take

WE CANNOT CONVINCE YOU

THAT EVOLUTION IS A LIE! Because if you haven't got enough sense to see that and appreciate the truth of the Bible, if you would rather believe in evolution than the truth of God's Word, then be deluded and deceived, 'cause that's what you will be! He said, "Because they received not the love of the truth, that they might be saved... for this cause God shall send them strong delusion, that they should believe a lie..." (2 Thes. 2:10-12)

But if you want God's plain, simple truth, all you have to do is **HUMBLE YOURSELF AS A LITTLE CHILD AND ASK HIM TO OPEN YOUR EYES AND COME INTO YOUR LIFE**. This is why Jesus said, "I thank Thee, O Father, Lord of Heaven and Earth, that Thou hast hid these things from the wise and prudent, and hast revealed them unto babes" (Lk. 10:21)
JESUS IS THE WAY, THE TRUTH, AND THE LIFE! (Jn. 14:6)
PRAY AND ASK HIM TO COME INTO YOUR HEART, forgive your sins, and

IN CONCLUSION:

give you a new life, and He will! He promised "Behold, I stand at the door and knock: If any man hear My voice and open the door, I will come into him" (Rev. 3:20). "And ye shall know the truth, and the truth shall make you free." (Jn. 8:32) Free from sin, self, hypocrisy, and the damnable lies which deceive and delude so many -- like **EVOLUTION!**



P.S. To those of you who know and love the truth and your fellow man: We hope this lesson will encourage you to **STAND UP** for your convictions and to take the initiative in **ATTACKING** with vigorous and vocal **PROTEST!** the diabolical lies of the Devil being spouted with such Satanic venom by his high priests of man's vain knowledge in an educational system designed by the Devil to destroy faith and exalt man and make you a devotee of creation rather than its Creator!

STAND UP FOR THE TRUTH AND FIGHT FOR YOUR FAITH! Give clear ringing testimony before others that they, too, may know the truth so that the truth may set them free!
IF YOU DON'T DO IT, WHO WILL? Don't be a lukewarm compromiser who makes God sick! (Rev. 3:15,26) Jesus said, "Whoever shall be ashamed of Me and of My Words in this adulterous and sinful generation, of him also shall the Son of Man be ashamed, when He cometh in the glory of His Father with the holy angels" (Mk. 8:38) "Whosoever shall confess Me before men, him will I confess also before My Father which is in Heaven." (Mt. 10:32) So why leave the field to the forces of evil and their evil evolutionists? **STAND UP FOR JESUS AND HIS TRUTH TODAY!** Hallelujah!

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- "Life and Letters", Darwin, Vol. 2, p. 210
- "Biogenesis and Abiogenesis", T. H. Huxley, Pres. address before Brit. Ass. of Adv. Science
- "The New York Times", Nov. 29, 1958, p. 595
- "American Scientist", Vol. 43, Oct. 1955, p. 595
- "Readers Digest", Jan. 1963, p. 92
- "Look", Jan. 16, 1962, p. 46
- "The Immense Journey", L. Eiseley, p. 199
- Ibid., p. 200
- "The Origin of Species", Darwin, p. 56-59
- "Analytical Concordance to the Holy Bible", Young, Eighth Edition, 1939, p. 564
- "The Origin of Species", Darwin, p. 156
- "The Colleague Encyclopedia", 1970, Vol. 9, p. 68
- "The Material Basis of Evolution", R. Goldschmidt, p. 165
- "Evolution, The Modern Synthesis", H. Huxley, p. 115
- "Scientific American", Nov. 1955, p. 58
- "Time", Nov. 11, 1946, p. 96
- "Genetics", H. Kalmus, p. 93
- "Journal of the American Scientific Affiliation", Lammerts, Mar. 1961, p. 6
- "The Origin of Species", Darwin, p. 157, 294
- Ibid., p. 157
- "Genetics, Paleontology and Evolution", A. Romer, p. 114
- "The Descent of Man", Darwin, p. 165
- "New Scientist", Mar. 25, 1965, p. 800
- "The Primates", S. Emler, I. DeVore and the editors of "Life", p. 177
- "Scientific American", Nov. 1966, p. 53
- "Science", Dec. 13, 1957, p. 1238
- "The Fossil Evidence for Human Evolution", Le Gros Clark, p. 172
- "The Long Road to Man", R. Lehman, p. 115
- "Haeckle's Frauds and Forgeries", Astmuth and Hull
- "Mank and So Far", William Howells, p. 136
- "Encyclopedia Britannica", 1946, Vol. 14, p. 763
- "Science News Letter", Feb. 25, 1961, p. 119
- "Readers Digest", Oct. 1956, p. 182
- "The Colleague Encyclopedia", 1970, Vol. 11, p. 283
- "The Origin of Species", Darwin, p. 462
- "Biology and its Relation to Mankind", A. Wincheler, p. 604
- "The Colleague Encyclopedia", 1970, Vol. 11, p. 286
- "Bulletin of the A. A. of Petrological Geologists", Apr. 1956, Vol. 40, p. 684
- "Science Digest", 10, 1945, p. 1490
- "Science Digest", Dec. 1960, p. 19
- "Science Year", p. 193
- "The World Book Encyclopedia", 1966, Vol. 6, p. 17
- "The Dogma of Evolution", L. More, p. 304
- "Hitler's Words", A. Hitler, p. 3

DO YOU HAVE THE ENTIRE 'BIG LIE!' SERIES? WRITE TODAY FOR IT--AND OTHERS! PLEASE ENCLOSE A GIFT TO COVER COSTS. THANKS!--TRUE KOMIX, P.O. 241, 8021 ZURICH SWITZ.